Laboratory #9a. Problem-Solving with the GLM. I. Setting up the Analysis.

The purpose of this lab is to gain practice in setting up statistical analyses. This is the most important part of statistical analysis, as it determines the analytic path. At the same time it is the most difficult. It is difficult because it requires unfamiliar forms of abstract thinking. It requires identification of variables, including inventing the name of a variable to include multiple categories. It requires a decision as to type of measurement scale–nominal (categorical), ordinal (ranks), interval, or ratio. This is by no means obvious. For example, variables such as time can be treated as nominal, ordinal, or ratio. Setting up an analysis requires separating response from explanatory variables. While this is usually clear for experimental studies, it is not clearcut where there are many variables with complex or unknown causal relations among them.

In lab 9a you will gain experience in setting up model based analysis of data. There are three data sets, each more challenging than the previous. The first example is a sophisticated experimental design called a latin square. Instead of being asked to look up the prescription for a latin square, you will be asked to use what you have learned to set up the analysis. The second example is an extension of the first. It does not have a name and so cannot be found by name in a text. With the model based approach, you will be able to set up this analysis. The third example is data for which there is again no named test. For this example, there are several possible models depending on how the response variable is defined. Upon completing Lab 9 you will have a greater capacity to undertake statistical analysis than if you had spent an entire year learning a battery of tests and their names.

All three data sets consist of counts. They are thus further challenging in that heterogeneous errors may be a problem, as is often the case with count data. You will be asked to use judgement in deciding whether heterogeneity is present and if so, how severe. And you will be expected to judge what action to take, in light of the severity of heterogeneity, sample size, and whether a recomputed p-value (by randomization) is necessary.

The 3 data sets are available on the website for this course	wworm1.txt
www.mun.ca/biology/schneider/b4605/Labs/Data	wworm2.txt
They are printed for you, below, with descriptive statistics.	leprosy.txt

You are encouraged to work in groups to decide how to analyze each data set.

The three examples use data from:

Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1980) <u>Statistical Methods</u> 7th ed. Iowa State University Press: Ames, Iowa

Honours students with a data set of their own can substitute their data set for one of the three sets in Lab 9 analyses. If you decide to use your own data set, be sure to describe (in a short paragraph) how the data were collected.

The write-up for lab 9a (step 1 in the generic recipe for statistical analysis with the GLM) and the write-up for lab 9b in the next lab period (steps 2-10 in the generic recipe) are <u>both</u> due together after lab 9b.

Laboratory	#9a.	Problem-	Solving	I:	Set-L	Jp
2						

4 3	0 2	25	3 1	14
16	3 0	0 б	2 4	4 4
04	19	3 1	4 6	25
2 17	4 8	1 8	09	3 0
34	24	4 2	1 4	08
ΤN	ΤN	ΤN	T N	ΤN

wworm1.txtt

Snedecor and Cochran (1980) p 289

N = number of wire worms per $9 \times 9 \times 5$ inch plot T = treatment: control (0) and 4 soil fumigants. Plots are arranged in a 5 x 5 array.

Lach deathent occurs in each tow and in each column. This is cance a Lathi Squa	Each treatment	occurs in each row	and in each column.	This is called a	Latin Square
---	----------------	--------------------	---------------------	------------------	--------------

MTB >	print cl	1-c14		
ROW	trtmnt	count	col	rows
1	4	3	1	1
2	1	б	1	2
3	0	4	1	3
4	2	17	1	4
5	3	4	1	5
6	0	2	2	1
7	3	0	2	2
8	1	9	2	3
9	4	8	2	4
10	2	4	2	5
11	2	5	3	1
12	0	6	3	2
13	3	1	3	3
14	1	8	3	4
15	4	2	3	5
16	3	1	4	1
17	2	4	4	2
18	4	6	4	3
19	0	9	4	4
20	1	4	4	5
21	1	4	5	1
22	4	4	5	2
23	2	5	5	3
24	3	0	5	4
25	0	8	5	5
-	-	-	-	-

Laboratory #	9a. Problem-S	Solving I:	Set-Up
<i>,</i>		0	1

MTB > plot 18.0+	'count'	'trtmnt'					wworm1.txt
_				*			
count _							
_							
_							
12.0+							
—							
—							
_	2	2				*	
_							
6.0+	*	*				*	
_				2			
_	*	2		2	*	*	
_	*					2	
_					2		
0.0+					2		
_							
	+	+	+	+	+	+-	trtmnt
C	0.00	0.80	1.60	2.40	3.20	4.00	
MTB > desc	cribe 'co	unt';					
SUBC> by '	rows'.						
	rows	N	MEAN	MEDIAN	TRMEAN	STDEV	SEMEAN
count	1	5	3.000	3.000	3.000	1.581	0.707
	2	5	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.45	1.10
	3	5	5.00	5.00	5.00	2.92	1.30
	4	5	8.40	8.00	8.40	6.02	2.69
	5	5	4.400	4.000	4.400	2.191	0.980
MTB > desc	cribe 'co	unt';					
SUBC> by	col'.						
	col	N	MEAN	MEDIAN	TRMEAN	STDEV	SEMEAN
count		5	6.80	4.00	6.80	5.81	2.60
000000	2	5	4 60	4 00	4 60	3 85	1 72
	2	5	4 40	5 00	4 40	2 88	1 29
	л Л	5	4 80	4 00	4 80	2.00	1 30
	-	5	4.00	4.00		2.25	

Count variation relative to both random factors. Pct variance = $(SS_{rows} + SS_{cols})/SS_{total} =$

MTB > anova 'count' = 'rows' 'col'; SUBC> residuals c15. Analysis of Variance for count DF SS MS F P Source 4 84.56 21.140 1.61 0.220 rows 4 5.540 0.42 0.791 col 22.16 210.24 13.140 Error 16 316.96 13.207 Total 24

Laboratory #9a. Problem-Solving I: Set-Up

_									
4	6	0	3	2	29	3	8	1	17
1	8	3	13	0	18	2	12	4	16
0	15	1	13	3	7	4	10	2	28
2	14	4	11	1	13	0	22	3	7
3	7	2	26	4	24	1	14	0	20
Т	Ν	Т	N	Т	N	Т	N	Т	N

wworm2.txt

Snedecor and Cochran (1980) p 273

T = treatment: 0 = contrl 1,2,3,4 = 4 soil fumigants

N = number of wire worms per $9 \times 9 \times 5$ inch plot

Each treatment occurs in each row, and in each column of the 5 x 5 array.

This is called a Latin Square layout.

This is a repeat (1 year later) of the experiment reported as 'WWORM1.dat'



continued... (over)

Laboratory #9a. Problem-Solving I: Set-Up

							- /		
MTB > describe 'count';									
SUBC> DY TOWS.									
rows	N	MEAN	MEDIAN	TRMEAN	STDEV	SEMEAN			
1	5	12.60	8.00	12.60	10.55	4.72			
2	5	13.40	13.00	13.40	3.85	1.72			
3	5	14.60	13.00	14.60	8.08	3.61			
4	5	13.40	13.00	13.40	5.50	2.46			
5	5	18.20	20.00	18.20	7.76	3.47			
MTB > describe 'count'; SUBC> by 'col'.									
col	N	MEAN	MEDIAN	TRMEAN	STDEV	SEMEAN			
1	5	10.00	8.00	10.00	4.18	1.87			
2	5	13.20	13.00	13.20	8.26	3.69			
3	5	18.20	18.00	18.20	8.70	3.89			
4	5	13.20	12.00	13.20	5.40	2.42			
5	5	17.60	17.00	17.60	7.57	3.39			
	be 'count'; ws'. rows 1 2 3 4 5 be 'count'; 1'. col 1 2 3 4 5	be 'count'; ws'. rows N 1 5 2 5 3 5 4 5 5 5 be 'count'; 1'. col N 1 5 2 5 3 5 4 5 5 5	be 'count'; ws'. rows N MEAN 1 5 12.60 2 5 13.40 3 5 14.60 4 5 13.40 5 5 18.20 be 'count'; 1'. col N MEAN 1 5 10.00 2 5 13.20 3 5 18.20 4 5 13.20 5 5 17.60	be 'count'; ws'. rows N MEAN MEDIAN 1 5 12.60 8.00 2 5 13.40 13.00 3 5 14.60 13.00 4 5 13.40 13.00 5 5 18.20 20.00 be 'count'; 1'. col N MEAN MEDIAN 1 5 10.00 8.00 2 5 13.20 13.00 3 5 18.20 18.00 4 5 13.20 12.00 5 5 17.60 17.00	be 'count'; ws'. rows N MEAN MEDIAN TRMEAN 1 5 12.60 8.00 12.60 2 5 13.40 13.00 13.40 3 5 14.60 13.00 14.60 4 5 13.40 13.00 13.40 5 5 18.20 20.00 18.20 be 'count'; 1'. col N MEAN MEDIAN TRMEAN 1 5 10.00 8.00 10.00 2 5 13.20 13.00 13.20 3 5 18.20 18.00 18.20 4 5 13.20 12.00 13.20 5 5 17.60 17.00 17.60	be 'count'; ws'. rows N MEAN MEDIAN TRMEAN STDEV 1 5 12.60 8.00 12.60 10.55 2 5 13.40 13.00 13.40 3.85 3 5 14.60 13.00 14.60 8.08 4 5 13.40 13.00 13.40 5.50 5 5 18.20 20.00 18.20 7.76 be 'count'; 1'. col N MEAN MEDIAN TRMEAN STDEV 1 5 10.00 8.00 10.00 4.18 2 5 13.20 13.00 13.20 8.26 3 5 18.20 18.00 18.20 8.70 4 5 13.20 12.00 13.20 5.40 5 5 17.60 17.00 17.60 7.57	be 'count'; ws'. rows N MEAN MEDIAN TRMEAN STDEV SEMEAN 1 5 12.60 8.00 12.60 10.55 4.72 2 5 13.40 13.00 13.40 3.85 1.72 3 5 14.60 13.00 14.60 8.08 3.61 4 5 13.40 13.00 13.40 5.50 2.46 5 5 18.20 20.00 18.20 7.76 3.47 be 'count'; 1'. col N MEAN MEDIAN TRMEAN STDEV SEMEAN 1 5 10.00 8.00 10.00 4.18 1.87 2 5 13.20 13.00 13.20 8.26 3.69 3 5 18.20 18.00 18.20 8.70 3.89 4 5 13.20 12.00 13.20 5.40 2.42 5 5 17.60 17.00 17.60 7.57 3.39		

wworm2.txtt (continued)

Laboratory #9a. Problem-Solving I: Set-Up

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 16 & 13 \\ 13 & 10 \\ 11 & 18 \\ 9 & 5 \\ 21 & 23 \\ 16 & 12 \\ 12 & 5 \\ 12 & 16 \\ 7 & 1 \\ 12 & 20 \end{array}$	Sn Sco and tre (T)	Snedecor and Cochran (1980) p 368 Scores for Leprosy bacilli before (B) and after (A) treatment with two types of antibiotics (TRI and TRII), with one control (C)					
BABA TRI TRII	B A Control							
MTB > read 'lep MTB > describe	prosy.dat' cl- cl-c6	c6;						
bTRI aTRI bTRII aTRII bCONT aCONT	N MEAN 10 9.30 10 5.30 10 10.00 10 6.10 10 12.90 10 12.30	MEDIAN 9.00 5.00 8.00 3.50 12.00 12.50	TRMEAN 8.88 5.00 9.50 5.37 12.62 12.37	STDEV 4.76 4.64 5.25 6.15 3.96 7.15	SEMEAN 1.51 1.47 1.66 1.95 1.25 2.26			
MTB > plot c2 15.0+	c1							
aTRI		*			÷	٠.		
- - - -			*	*				
5.0+ - - - 0.0+ *	* *	*						
3.0	6.0	9.0	12.0	15.0	18.0	btri		

continued... (over)

Laboratory #9a. Problem-Solving I: Set-Up

leprosy.txt (continued)

